

1. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE  
YOUNG OF ALASKA, OR HIS  
DESIGNEE, TO BE DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES:

---

7  
Rev Rev

**AMENDMENT TO H.R. 2864, AS REPORTED  
OFFERED BY MR. YOUNG OF ALASKA**

Page 11, line 7, insert "(a) PROJECTS WITH CHIEF'S REPORT.—" before "Except as".

Page 12, line 16, strike "SHILY" and insert "SHLY".

Page 12, line 18, strike "Shily'" and insert "Shly'".

Page 21, after line 21, insert the following:

1 (b) PROJECTS SUBJECT TO FINAL REPORT.—The  
2 following projects for water resources development and  
3 conservation and other purposes are authorized to be car-  
4 ried out by the Secretary substantially in accordance with  
5 the plans, and subject to the conditions, recommended in  
6 a final report of the Chief of Engineers if a favorable re-  
7 port of the Chief is completed not later than December  
8 31, 2005:

9 (1) DES MOINES/RACCOON RIVERS, IOWA.—  
10 The project for flood damage reduction, Des Moines/  
11 Raccoon Rivers, Iowa, at a total cost of  
12 \$10,000,000, with an estimated Federal cost of  
13 \$6,500,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of  
14 \$3,500,000.



1           (2) PORT OF IBERIA, LOUISIANA.—The project  
2 for navigation, Port of Iberia, Louisiana, at a total  
3 cost of \$194,000,000, with an estimated Federal  
4 cost of \$123,000,000 and an estimated non-Federal  
5 cost of \$71,000,000.

6           (3) RARITAN AND SANDY HOOK BAYS, UNION  
7 BEACH, NEW JERSEY.—The project for hurricane  
8 and storm damage reduction, Raritan and Sandy  
9 Hook Bays, Union Beach, New Jersey, at a total  
10 cost of \$99,095,000, with an estimated Federal cost  
11 of \$64,412,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost  
12 of \$34,683,000.

13           (4) HOCKING RIVER, MONDAY CREEK SUB-  
14 BASIN, OHIO.—The project for environmental res-  
15 toration, Hocking River, Monday Creek Sub-basin,  
16 Ohio, at a total cost of \$20,000,000, with an esti-  
17 mated Federal cost of \$17,000,000 and an esti-  
18 mated non-Federal cost of \$3,000,000.

19           (5) PAWLEY'S ISLAND, SOUTH CAROLINA.—The  
20 project for hurricane and storm damage reduction,  
21 Pawley's Island, South Carolina, at a total cost of  
22 \$8,813,000, with an estimated Federal cost of  
23 \$4,133,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of  
24 \$4,680,000.



Page 23, strike lines 9 through 13 and redesignate subsequent paragraphs accordingly.

Page 24, after line 18, insert the following:

1           (25) DRY AND OTTER CREEKS, CORTLAND, NEW  
2           YORK.—Project for flood damage reduction, Dry and  
3           Otter Creeks, Cortland, New York.

Page 27, line 8, strike “(a)(21)” and insert “(a)(19)”.

Page 27, line 19, strike “(a)(18)” and insert “(a)(16)”.

Page 28, line 1, strike “(a)(35)” and insert “(a)(34)”.

Page 29, after line 17, insert the following:

4           (10) DRY AND OTTER CREEKS, CORTLAND  
5           COUNTY, NEW YORK.—Project for emergency  
6           streambank protection, Dry and Otter Creeks,  
7           Cortland County, New York.

Page 29, after line 24, insert the following:

8           (12) OWEGO CREEK, TIOGA COUNTY, NEW  
9           YORK.—Project for emergency streambank protec-  
10          tion, Owego Creek, Tioga County, New York.

Page 40, line 1, after the second comma, insert “Shore Parkway Greenway,”.



Page 83, strike line 20 and all that follows through line 18 on page 85 and insert the following:

1 (a) DETERMINATION OF CERTAIN NATIONAL BENE-  
2 FITS.—

3 (1) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of  
4 Congress that, consistent with the Economic and  
5 Environmental Principles and Guidelines for Water  
6 and Related Land Resources Implementation Stud-  
7 ies (1983), the Secretary may select a water re-  
8 sources project alternative that does not maximize  
9 net national economic development benefits or net  
10 national ecosystem restoration benefits if there is an  
11 overriding reason based on other Federal, State,  
12 local, or international concerns.

13 (2) FLOOD DAMAGE REDUCTION, NAVIGATION,  
14 AND HURRICANE STORM DAMAGE REDUCTION  
15 PROJECTS.—With respect to a water resources  
16 project the primary purpose of which is flood dam-  
17 age reduction, navigation, or hurricane and storm  
18 damage reduction, an overriding reason for selecting  
19 a plan other than the plan that maximizes net na-  
20 tional economic development benefits may be if the  
21 Secretary determines, and the non-Federal interest  
22 concurs, that an alternative plan is feasible and



1 achieves the project purposes while providing greater  
2 ecosystem restoration benefits.

3 (3) ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION PROJECTS.—

4 With respect to a water resources project the pri-  
5 mary purpose of which is ecosystem restoration, an  
6 overriding reason for selecting a plan other than the  
7 plan that maximizes net national ecosystem restora-  
8 tion benefits may be if the Secretary determines,  
9 and the non-Federal interest concurs, that an alter-  
10 native plan is feasible and achieves the project pur-  
11 poses while providing greater economic development  
12 benefits.

Page 110, after line 20, insert the following:

13 **SECTION 2041. SUPPORT OF ARMY CIVIL WORKS PROGRAM.**

14 (a) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding section 2361 of  
15 title 10, United States Code, the Secretary is authorized  
16 to provide assistance through contracts, cooperative agree-  
17 ments, and grants to—

18 (1) the University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Ten-  
19 nessee, for establishment and operation of the  
20 Southeastern Water Resources Institute to study  
21 sustainable development and utilization of water re-  
22 sources in the southeastern United States;

23 (2) Lewis and Clark Community College, Illi-  
24 nois, for the Great Rivers National Research and



1 Education Center (including facilities that have been  
2 or will be constructed at one or more locations in the  
3 vicinity of the confluence of the Illinois River, the  
4 Missouri River, and the Mississippi River), a collabo-  
5 rative effort of Lewis and Clark Community College,  
6 the University of Illinois, the Illinois Department of  
7 Natural Resources and Environmental Sciences, and  
8 other entities, for the study of river ecology, devel-  
9 oping watershed and river management strategies,  
10 and educating students and the public on river  
11 issues; and

12 (3) the University of Texas at Dallas for sup-  
13 port and operation of the International Center for  
14 Decision and Risk Analysis to study risk analysis  
15 and control methods for transboundary water re-  
16 sources management in the southwestern United  
17 States and other international water resources man-  
18 agement problems.

19 (b) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There  
20 are authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary to carry  
21 out subsection (a)(1) \$5,000,000, to carry out subsection  
22 (a)(2) \$5,000,000, and to carry out subsection (a)(3)  
23 \$5,000,000. Such sums shall remain available until ex-  
24 pended.



Page 110, after line 22, insert the following (and re-designate subsequent sections accordingly):

1 **SEC. 3001. COOK INLET, ALASKA.**

2 Section 118(a)(2) of the Energy and Water Develop-  
3 ment Appropriations Act, 2005 (title I of division C of  
4 the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005; 118 Stat.  
5 2945) is amended—

6 (1) by inserting “maximum navigational” be-  
7 fore “draft”;

8 (2) by striking “greater than”; and

9 (3) by inserting “or greater” after “35 feet”.

Page 125, after line 23, insert the following (and re-designate subsequent sections accordingly):

10 **SEC. 3032. SOUTH PLATTE RIVER BASIN, COLORADO.**

11 Section 808 of the Water Resources Development Act  
12 of 1986 (100 Stat. 4168) is amended by striking “agri-  
13 culture,” and inserting “agriculture, environmental res-  
14 toration,”.

Page 130, line 17, strike “costs it” and insert “the Federal share of the costs the non-Federal interest”.

Page 130, line 18, after “project” insert “(including environmental mitigation costs and costs incurred for incomplete usable increments of the project)”.



Page 134, strike lines 10 through 22 and insert the following:

1 **SEC. 3046. BEARDSTOWN COMMUNITY BOAT HARBOR,**  
2 **BEARDSTOWN, ILLINOIS.**

3 (a) IN GENERAL.—The project for navigation,  
4 Muscooten Bay, Illinois River, Beardstown Community  
5 Boat Harbor, Beardstown, Illinois, constructed under sec-  
6 tion 107 of the River and Harbor Act of 1960 (33 U.S.C.  
7 577), is modified—

8 (1) to include the channel between the harbor  
9 and the Illinois River; and

10 (2) to direct the Secretary to enter into a part-  
11 nership agreement with the city of Beardstown to  
12 replace the local cooperation agreement dated Au-  
13 gust 18, 1983, with the Beardstown Community  
14 Park District.

15 (b) TERMS OF PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT.—The  
16 partnership agreement referred to in subsection (a) shall  
17 include the same rights and responsibilities as the local  
18 cooperation agreement dated August 18, 1983, changing  
19 only the identity of the non-Federal sponsor.

Page 134, line 23, strike “(b)” and insert “(c)”.

Page 159, strike section 3093 and insert the fol-  
lowing:



1 **SEC. 3093. ORCHARD BEACH, BRONX, NEW YORK.**

2 Section 554 of the Water Resources Development Act  
3 of 1996 (110 Stat. 3781) is amended by striking “max-  
4 imum Federal cost of \$5,200,000” and inserting “total  
5 cost of \$20,000,000”.

Page 190, after line 8, insert the following (and re-  
designate subsequent subsections accordingly):

6 (c) **CALCASIEU SHIP CHANNEL, LOUISIANA.—**

7 (1) **IN GENERAL.—**At such time as Pujo Heirs  
8 and Westland Corporation conveys all right, title,  
9 and interest in and to the real property described in  
10 paragraph (2)(A) to the United States, the Sec-  
11 retary shall convey all right, title, and interest of the  
12 United States in and to the real property described  
13 in paragraph (2)(B) to Pujo Heirs and Westland  
14 Corporation.

15 (2) **LAND DESCRIPTION.—**The parcels of land  
16 referred to in paragraph (1) are the following:

17 (A) **NON-FEDERAL LAND.—**An equivalent  
18 area to the real property described in subpara-  
19 graph (B). The parcels that may be exchanged  
20 include Tract 128E, Tract 129E, Tract 131E,  
21 Tract 41A, Tract 42, Tract 132E, Tract 130E,  
22 Tract 134E, Tract 133E-3, Tract 140E, or  
23 some combination thereof.



1 (B) FEDERAL LAND.—An area in Cameron  
2 Parish, Louisiana, known as portions of Gov-  
3 ernment Tract Numbers 139E-2 and 48 (both  
4 tracts on the west shore of the Calcasieu Ship  
5 Channel), and known as Corps of Engineers  
6 Dredge Material Placement Area O.

7 (3) CONDITIONS.—The exchange of real prop-  
8 erty under paragraph (1) shall be subject to the fol-  
9 lowing conditions:

10 (A) DEEDS.—

11 (i) NON-FEDERAL LAND.—The con-  
12 veyance of the real property described in  
13 paragraph (2)(A) to the Secretary shall be  
14 by a warranty deed acceptable to the Sec-  
15 retary.

16 (ii) FEDERAL LAND.—The conveyance  
17 of the real property described in paragraph  
18 (2)(B) to Pujo Heirs and Westland Cor-  
19 poration shall be by quitclaim deed.

20 (B) TIME LIMIT FOR EXCHANGE.—The  
21 land exchange under paragraph (1) shall be  
22 completed not later than 1 year after the date  
23 of enactment of this Act.

24 (4) VALUE OF PROPERTIES.—If the appraised  
25 fair market value, as determined by the Secretary,



1 of the real property conveyed to Pujo Heirs and  
2 Westland Corporation by the Secretary under para-  
3 graph (1) exceeds the appraised fair market value,  
4 as determined by the Secretary, of the real property  
5 conveyed to the United States by Pujo Heirs and  
6 Westland Corporation under paragraph (1), Pujo  
7 Heirs and Westland Corporation shall make a pay-  
8 ment to the United States equal to the excess in  
9 cash or a cash equivalent that is satisfactory to the  
10 Secretary.

---

Page 201, after line 24, insert the following (and re-  
designate subsequent sections accordingly):

11 **SEC. 4005. DELAWARE RIVER.**

12 The Secretary shall review, in consultation with the  
13 Delaware River Basin Commission and the States of Dela-  
14 ware, Pennsylvania, New Jersey, and New York, the re-  
15 port of the Chief of Engineers on the Delaware River, pub-  
16 lished as House Document Numbered 522, 87th Congress,  
17 Second Session, as it relates to the Mid-Delaware River  
18 Basin from Wilmington to Port Jervis, and any other per-  
19 tinent reports (including the strategy for resolution of  
20 interstate flow management issues in the Delaware River  
21 Basin dated August 2004 and the National Park Service  
22 Lower Delaware River Management Plan (1997–1999)),  
23 with a view to determining whether any modifications of



1 recommendations contained in the first report referred to  
2 are advisable at the present time, in the interest of flood  
3 damage reduction, ecosystem restoration, and other re-  
4 lated problems.

Page 213, lines 11 and 12, strike “services, mate-  
rials, supplies, or other in-kind contributions” and insert  
“in-kind services and materials”.

Page 221, after line 20, insert the following (and re-  
designate subsequent sections accordingly):

5 **SEC. 4073. SHORE PARKWAY GREENWAY, BROOKLYN, NEW**  
6 **YORK.**

7 The Secretary shall conduct a study of the feasibility  
8 of carrying out a project for shoreline protection in the  
9 vicinity of the confluence of the Narrows and Gravesend  
10 Bay, Upper New York Bay, Shore Parkway Greenway,  
11 Brooklyn, New York.

Page 233, after line 4, insert the following (and re-  
designate subsequent sections accordingly):

12 **SEC. 4105. JOHNSON CREEK, ARLINGTON, TEXAS.**

13 (a) **REEVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORA-**  
14 **TION FEATURES.**—The Secretary shall reevaluate the  
15 project for flood damage reduction, environmental restora-  
16 tion, and recreation, authorized by section 101(b)(14) of  
17 the Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat.



1 280), to develop alternatives to the separable environ-  
2 mental restoration element of the project.

3 (b) STUDY OF ADDITIONAL FLOOD DAMAGE REDUC-  
4 TION MEASURES.—The Secretary shall conduct a study  
5 to determine the feasibility of additional flood damage re-  
6 duction measures and erosion control measures within the  
7 boundaries of the project referred to in subsection (a).

8 (c) PLANS AND DESIGNS.—In conducting the studies  
9 referred to in subsections (a) and (b), the Secretary shall  
10 review plans and designs developed by non-Federal inter-  
11 ests and shall use such plans and designs to the extent  
12 that the Secretary determines that such plans and designs  
13 are consistent with Federal standards.

14 (d) CREDIT TOWARD FEDERAL SHARE.—If an alter-  
15 native environmental restoration element is authorized by  
16 law, the Secretary shall credit toward the Federal share  
17 of the cost of that project the costs incurred by the Sec-  
18 retary to carry out the separable environmental restora-  
19 tion element of the project referred to in subsection (a).  
20 The non-Federal interest shall not be responsible for reim-  
21 bursing the Secretary for any amount credited under this  
22 subsection.

23 (e) CREDIT TOWARD THE NON-FEDERAL SHARE.—  
24 The Secretary shall credit toward the non-Federal share  
25 of the cost of the studies under subsections (a) and (b),



1 and the cost of any project carried out as a result of such  
2 studies the cost of work carried out by the non-Federal  
3 interest.

Page 238, strike line 9 and redesignate subsequent paragraphs accordingly.

Page 241, strike lines 4 through 10 and insert the following:

4 (c) FERN RIDGE DAM, OREGON.—

5 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall plan, de-  
6 sign, and complete emergency corrective actions to  
7 repair the embankment dam at the Fern Ridge Lake  
8 project, Oregon.

9 (2) TREATMENT.—The Secretary may treat  
10 work to be carried out under this subsection as a  
11 dam safety project, and the cost of the work may be  
12 recovered in accordance with section 1203 of the  
13 Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33  
14 U.S.C. 467n; 100 Stat. 4263).

Page 242, line 6, strike “river mile 205 to river mile 308.4,”.

Page 243, after line 14, insert the following (and redesignate subsequent quoted paragraphs accordingly):

15 “(10) \$27,000,000 for the project described in  
16 subsection (c)(19);

Page 245, after line 11, insert the following (and re-designate subsequent paragraphs accordingly):

1           (6) North River, Peabody, Massachusetts, being  
2           carried out under section 205 of the Flood Control  
3           Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s).

Page 249, line 19, strike "The Secretary" and insert the following:

4           “(1) DELAWARE RIVER.—The Secretary”.

Page 250, after line 2, insert the following:

5           (2) SUSQUEHANNA RIVER.—The Secretary may  
6           enter into an agreement with the Susquehanna River  
7           Basin Commission to provide temporary water sup-  
8           ply and conservation storage at Corps of Engineers  
9           facilities in the Susquehanna River Basin during any  
10          period in which the Commission has determined that  
11          a drought warning or drought emergency exists. The  
12          agreement shall provide that the cost for any such  
13          water supply and conservation storage shall not ex-  
14          ceed the incremental operating costs associated with  
15          providing the storage.

Page 252, after line 3, insert the following (and re-designate subsequent sections accordingly):



1 **SEC. 5021. REHABILITATION.**

2 The Secretary, at Federal expense and not to exceed  
3 \$1,000,000, shall rehabilitate and improve the water-re-  
4 lated infrastructure and the transportation infrastructure  
5 for the historic property in the Anacostia River Watershed  
6 located in the District of Columbia, including measures  
7 to address wet weather conditions. To carry out this sec-  
8 tion, the Secretary shall accept funds provided for such  
9 project under any other Federal program.

10 **SEC. 5022. RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM FOR**  
11 **COLUMBIA AND SNAKE RIVER SALMON SUR-**  
12 **VIVAL.**

13 Section 511 of the Water Resources Development Act  
14 of 1996 (16 U.S.C. 3301 note; 110 Stat. 3761; 113 Stat.  
15 375) is amended—

- 16 (1) in subsection (a)(6) by striking  
17 “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$25,000,000”; and  
18 (2) in subsection (c)(2) by striking  
19 “\$1,000,000” and inserting “\$10,000,000”.

20 **SEC. 5023. WAGE SURVEYS.**

21 Employees of the United States Army Corps of Engi-  
22 neers who are paid wages determined under the last un-  
23 designated paragraph under the heading “Administrative  
24 Provisions” of chapter V of the Supplemental Appropria-  
25 tions Act, 1982 (5 U.S.C. 5343 note; 96 Stat. 832) shall  
26 be allowed, through appropriate employee organization



1 representatives, to participate in wage surveys under such  
2 paragraph to the same extent as are prevailing rate em-  
3 ployees under subsection (c)(2) of section 5343 of title 5,  
4 United States Code. Nothing in such section 5343 shall  
5 be considered to affect which agencies are to be surveyed  
6 under such paragraph.

Page 253, after line 25, insert the following (and re-  
designate subsequent sections accordingly):

7 **SEC. 5026. FIRE ISLAND, ALASKA.**

8 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary is authorized to  
9 provide planning, design, and construction assistance to  
10 the non-Federal interest for the construction of a cause-  
11 way between Point Campbell and Fire Island, Alaska, in-  
12 cluding the beneficial use of dredged material in the con-  
13 struction of the causeway.

14 (b) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
15 authorized to be appropriated \$5,000,000 to carry out this  
16 section.

Page 257, strike lines 6 through 19 (and redesignate  
subsequent sections accordingly).

Page 262, after line 12, insert the following (and re-  
designate subsequent sections accordingly):



1 **SEC. 5045. LA-3 DREDGED MATERIAL OCEAN DISPOSAL**  
2 **SITE DESIGNATION, CALIFORNIA.**

3 The third sentence of section 102(c)(4) of the Marine  
4 Protection, Research, and Sanctuaries Act of 1972 (33  
5 U.S.C. 1412(c)(4)) is amended by striking “January 1,  
6 2003” and inserting “January 1, 2007”.

7 **SEC. 5046. LANCASTER, CALIFORNIA.**

8 Section 219(f)(50) of the Water Resources Develop-  
9 ment Act of 1992 (114 Stat. 2763A-220) is amended—

10 (1) by inserting after “water” the following:

11 “and wastewater”;

12 (2) by striking “\$14,500,000” and inserting

13 “\$24,500,000”.

14 **SEC. 5047. ONTARIO AND CHINO, CALIFORNIA.**

15 The Secretary shall carry out a project for flood dam-  
16 age reduction under section 205 of the Flood Control Act  
17 of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s), in the vicinity of Ontario and  
18 Chino, California, if the Secretary determines that the  
19 project is feasible.

Page 263, after line 16, insert the following (and re-  
designate subsequent sections accordingly):

20 **SEC. 5046. RAYMOND BASIN, SIX BASINS, CHINO BASIN, AND**  
21 **SAN GABRIEL BASIN, CALIFORNIA.**

22 (a) **COMPREHENSIVE PLAN.**—The Secretary, in con-  
23 sultation and coordination with appropriate Federal,



1 State, and local entities, shall develop a comprehensive  
2 plan for the management of water resources in the Ray-  
3 mond Basin, Six Basins, Chino Basin, and San Gabriel  
4 Basin, California. The Secretary may carry out activities  
5 identified in the comprehensive plan to demonstrate prac-  
6 ticable alternatives for water resources management.

7 (b) NON-FEDERAL SHARE.—

8 (1) IN GENERAL.—The non-Federal share of  
9 the cost of activities carried out under this section  
10 shall be 35 percent.

11 (2) CREDIT.—The Secretary shall credit toward  
12 the non-Federal share of the cost of activities car-  
13 ried out under this section the cost of planning, de-  
14 sign, and construction work completed by or on be-  
15 half of the non-Federal interests for implementation  
16 of measures under this section. The amount of such  
17 credit shall not exceed the non-Federal share of the  
18 cost of such activities.

19 (3) OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.—The non-  
20 Federal share of the cost of operation and mainte-  
21 nance of any measures constructed under this sec-  
22 tion shall be 100 percent.

23 (c) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
24 authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section  
25 \$5,000,000.



Page 267, after line 2, insert the following (and re-designate subsequent sections accordingly):

1 **SEC. 5049. SAN PABLO BAY, CALIFORNIA, WATERSHED AND**  
2 **SUISUN MARSH ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION.**

3 (a) SAN PABLO BAY WATERSHED, CALIFORNIA.—

4 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall complete  
5 work, as expeditiously as possible, on the ongoing  
6 San Pablo Bay watershed, California, study to deter-  
7 mine the feasibility of opportunities for restoring,  
8 preserving and protecting the San Pablo Bay water-  
9 shed.

10 (2) REPORT.—Not later than March 31, 2008,  
11 the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report on  
12 the results of the study.

13 (b) SUISUN MARSH, CALIFORNIA.—The Secretary  
14 shall conduct a comprehensive study to determine the fea-  
15 sibility of opportunities for restoring, preserving and pro-  
16 tecting the Suisun Marsh, California.

17 (c) SAN PABLO AND SUISUN BAY MARSH WATER-  
18 SHED CRITICAL RESTORATION PROJECTS.—

19 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may partici-  
20 pate in critical restoration projects that will produce,  
21 consistent with Federal programs, projects, and ac-  
22 tivities, immediate and substantial ecosystem res-  
23 toration, preservation, and protection benefits in the



1 following sub-watersheds of the San Pablo and  
2 Suisun Bay Marsh watersheds:

3 (A) The tidal areas of the Petaluma River,  
4 Napa-Sonoma Marsh.

5 (B) The shoreline of West Contra Costa  
6 County.

7 (C) Novato Creek.

8 (D) Suisun Marsh.

9 (E) Gallinas-Miller Creek.

10 (2) TYPES OF ASSISTANCE.—Participation in  
11 critical restoration projects under this subsection  
12 may include assistance for planning, design, or con-  
13 struction.

14 (d) NON-FEDERAL INTERESTS.—Notwithstanding  
15 the requirements of section 221 of the Flood Control Act  
16 of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-5b), a nonprofit entity may  
17 serve, with the consent of the affected local government,  
18 as a non-Federal interest for a project undertaken under  
19 this section.

20 (e) COST SHARING.—Before carrying out any project  
21 under this section, the Secretary shall enter into a part-  
22 nership agreement with the non-Federal interest that shall  
23 require the non-Federal interest—

24 (1) to pay 35 percent of the cost of construc-  
25 tion for the project;



1           (2) to provide any lands, easements, rights-of-  
2 way, dredged material disposal areas, and reloca-  
3 tions necessary to carry out the project; and

4           (3) to pay 100 percent of the operation, mainte-  
5 nance, repair, replacement, and rehabilitation costs  
6 associated with the project.

7           (f) CREDIT.—The Secretary shall credit toward the  
8 non-Federal share of the cost of construction of a project  
9 under this section—

10           (1) the value of any lands, easements, rights-of-  
11 way, dredged material disposal areas, or relocations  
12 provided by the non-Federal interest for carrying out  
13 the project, regardless of the date of acquisition;

14           (2) funds received from the CALFED Bay-  
15 Delta program; and

16           (3) the cost of the studies, design, and con-  
17 struction work carried out by the non-Federal inter-  
18 est before the date of execution of a partnership  
19 agreement for the project if the Secretary deter-  
20 mines that the work is integral to the project.

21           (g) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
22 authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section  
23 \$40,000,000.

Page 270, strike lines 10 through 14 and insert the  
following:



1 **SEC. 5056. FLORIDA KEYS WATER QUALITY IMPROVE-**  
2 **MENTS.**

3 Section 109 of the Miscellaneous Appropriations Act,  
4 2001 (enacted into law by Public Law 106-554) (114  
5 Stat. 2763A-222) is amended—

6 (1) by adding at the end of subsection (e)(2)  
7 the following:

Page 270, line 25, strike the final period and insert  
“; and”.

Page 270, after line 25, insert the following:

8 (2) in subsection (f) by striking  
9 “\$100,000,000” and inserting “\$100,000,000, of  
10 which not more than \$15,000,000 may be used to  
11 provide planning, design, and construction assistance  
12 to the Florida Keys Aqueduct Authority for a water  
13 treatment plant, Florida City, Florida”.

Page 274, after line 17, insert the following (and re-  
designate subsequent subparagraphs accordingly):

14 (D) to ensure aquatic integrity of  
15 sidechannels and backwaters and their  
16 connectivity with the mainstem river;

Page 275, after line 12, insert the following (and re-  
designate subsequent subparagraphs accordingly):



1 (D) a conveyance study of the Kaskaskia  
2 River floodplain from Vandalia, Illinois, to  
3 Carlyle Lake to determine the impacts of exist-  
4 ing and future waterfowl improvements on flood  
5 stages, including detailed surveys and mapping  
6 information to ensure proper hydraulic and  
7 hydrological analysis;

Page 275, line 22, strike "Coordinating Council"  
and insert "Watershed Association".

Page 277, after line 14, add the following:

8 (6) Other programs that may be developed by  
9 the State of Illinois or the Federal Government, or  
10 that are carried out by non-profit organizations, to  
11 carry out the objectives of the Kaskaskia River  
12 Basin Comprehensive Plan.

Page 280, strike lines 14 through 20 and insert the  
following:

13 **SEC. 5065. PROMONTORY POINT, LAKE MICHIGAN, ILLI-**  
14 **NOIS.**

15 In carrying out the project for storm damage reduc-  
16 tion and shoreline erosion protection, Lake Michigan, au-  
17 thorized by section 101(a)(12) of the Water Resources De-  
18 velopment Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3664), the Secretary  
19 shall reconstruct the Promontory Point section consistent



1 with the original limestone step design. Additional costs  
2 associated with such reconstruction shall be a non-Federal  
3 responsibility. The costs of reconstruction not consistent  
4 with the original limestone step design shall be a non-Fed-  
5 eral responsibility.

6 **SEC. 5066. SOUTHWEST ILLINOIS.**

7 (a) SOUTHWEST ILLINOIS DEFINED.—In this sec-  
8 tion, the term “Southwest Illinois” means the counties of  
9 Madison, St. Clair, Monroe, Randolph, Perry, Franklin,  
10 Jackson, Union, Alexander, Pulaski, and Williamson, Illi-  
11 nois.

12 (b) ESTABLISHMENT OF PROGRAM.—The Secretary  
13 may establish a program to provide environmental assist-  
14 ance to non-Federal interests in Southwest Illinois.

15 (c) FORM OF ASSISTANCE.—Assistance under this  
16 section may be in the form of design and construction as-  
17 sistance for water-related environmental infrastructure  
18 and resource protection and development projects in  
19 Southwest Illinois, including projects for wastewater treat-  
20 ment and related facilities, water supply and related facili-  
21 ties, and surface water resource protection and develop-  
22 ment.

23 (d) OWNERSHIP REQUIREMENT.—The Secretary may  
24 provide assistance for a project under this section only if  
25 the project is publicly owned.



1 (e) PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENTS.—

2 (1) IN GENERAL.—Before providing assistance  
3 under this section, the Secretary shall enter into a  
4 partnership agreement with a non-Federal interest  
5 to provide for design and construction of the project  
6 to be carried out with the assistance.

7 (2) REQUIREMENTS.—Each partnership agree-  
8 ment entered into under this subsection shall provide  
9 for the following:

10 (A) PLAN.—Development by the Secretary,  
11 in consultation with appropriate Federal and  
12 State officials, of a facilities or resource protec-  
13 tion and development plan, including appro-  
14 priate engineering plans and specifications.

15 (B) LEGAL AND INSTITUTIONAL STRUC-  
16 TURES.—Establishment of such legal and insti-  
17 tutional structures as are necessary to ensure  
18 the effective long-term operation of the project  
19 by the non-Federal interest.

20 (3) COST SHARING.—

21 (A) IN GENERAL.—The Federal share of  
22 the project costs under each partnership agree-  
23 ment entered into under this subsection shall be  
24 75 percent. The Federal share may be in the



1 form of grants or reimbursements of project  
2 costs.

3 (B) CREDIT FOR WORK.—The non-Federal  
4 interests shall receive credit for the reasonable  
5 cost of design work on a project completed by  
6 the non-Federal interest before entering into a  
7 partnership agreement with the Secretary for  
8 such project.

9 (C) CREDIT FOR INTEREST.—In case of a  
10 delay in the funding of the non-Federal share  
11 of a project that is the subject of an agreement  
12 under this section, the non-Federal interest  
13 shall receive credit for reasonable interest in-  
14 curred in providing the non-Federal share of  
15 the project's costs.

16 (D) LAND, EASEMENTS, AND RIGHTS-OF-  
17 WAY CREDIT.—The non-Federal interest shall  
18 receive credit for land, easements, rights-of-  
19 way, and relocations toward the non-Federal  
20 share of project costs (including all reasonable  
21 costs associated with obtaining permits nec-  
22 essary for the construction, operation, and  
23 maintenance of the project on publicly owned or  
24 controlled land), but not to exceed 25 percent  
25 of total project costs.



1                   (E) OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.—The  
2                   non-Federal share of operation and mainte-  
3                   nance costs for projects constructed with assist-  
4                   ance provided under this section shall be 100  
5                   percent.

6                   (f) APPLICABILITY OF OTHER FEDERAL AND STATE  
7                   LAWS.—Nothing in this section waives, limits, or other-  
8                   wise affects the applicability of any provision of Federal  
9                   or State law that would otherwise apply to a project to  
10                  be carried out with assistance provided under this section.

11                  (g) NONPROFIT ENTITIES.—Notwithstanding section  
12                  221(b) of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C.  
13                  1962d-5b(b)), for any project undertaken under this sec-  
14                  tion, a non-Federal interest may include a nonprofit enti-  
15                  ty.

16                  (h) CORPS OF ENGINEERS EXPENSES.—Ten percent  
17                  of the amounts appropriated to carry out this section may  
18                  be used by the Corps of Engineers district offices to ad-  
19                  minister projects under this section at 100 percent Fed-  
20                  eral expense.

21                  (i) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
22                  authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section  
23                  \$40,000,000. Such sums shall remain available until ex-  
24                  pended.



Page 287, after line 11, insert the following (and re-designate subsequent sections accordingly):

1 **SEC. 5080. LAKE PONTCHARTRAIN, LOUISIANA.**

2 For purposes of carrying out section 121 of the Fed-  
3 eral Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1271), the  
4 Lake Pontchartrain, Louisiana, basin stakeholders con-  
5 ference convened by the Environmental Protection Agen-  
6 cy, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration,  
7 and United States Geological Survey on February 25,  
8 2002, shall be treated as being a management conference  
9 convened under section 320 of such Act (33 U.S.C. 1330).

Page 287, after line 12, insert the following:

10 (a) MODIFICATION OF STUDY.—The study for water-  
11 front and riverine preservation, restoration, and enhance-  
12 ment, Mississippi River, West Baton Rouge Parish, Lou-  
13 isiana, being carried out under Committee Resolution  
14 2570 of the Committee on Transportation and Infrastruc-  
15 ture of the House of Representatives adopted July 23,  
16 1998, is modified—

17 (1) to add West Feliciana Parish and East  
18 Baton Rouge Parish to the geographic scope of the  
19 study; and

20 (2) to direct the Secretary to credit toward the  
21 non-Federal share the cost of the study and the non-  
22 Federal share of the cost of any project authorized



1 by law as a result of the study the cost of work car-  
2 ried out by the non-Federal interest before the date  
3 of the partnership agreement for the project if the  
4 Secretary determines that the work is integral to the  
5 study or project, as the case may be.

Page 287, line 13, before “Section” insert “(b) EX-  
PEDITED CONSIDERATION.—”.

Page 287, lines 15 and 16, strike “Parish” and in-  
sert “, West Feliciana, and East Baton Rouge Parishes”.

Page 287, line 17, after the second comma insert  
“and”.

Page 287, lines 17 and 18, strike “, and interpretive  
center development”.

Page 306, after line 4, insert the following (and re-  
designate subsequent sections accordingly):

6 **SEC. 5111. CLINTON COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA.**

7 Section 219(f)(13) of the Water Resources Develop-  
8 ment Act of 1992 (113 Stat. 335) is amended by striking  
9 “\$1,000,000” and inserting “\$2,000,000”.

Page 309, after line 24, insert the following (and re-  
designate subsequent sections accordingly):



1 **SEC. 5116. EAST TENNESSEE.**

2 (a) **EAST TENNESSEE DEFINED.**—In this section,  
3 the term “East Tennessee” means the counties of Blount,  
4 Knox, Loudon, McMinn, Monroe, and Sevier, Tennessee.

5 (b) **ESTABLISHMENT OF PROGRAM.**—The Secretary  
6 may establish a program to provide environmental assist-  
7 ance to non-Federal interests in East Tennessee.

8 (c) **FORM OF ASSISTANCE.**—Assistance under this  
9 section may be in the form of design and construction as-  
10 sistance for water-related environmental infrastructure  
11 and resource protection and development projects in East  
12 Tennessee, including projects for wastewater treatment  
13 and related facilities, water supply and related facilities,  
14 environmental restoration, and surface water resource pro-  
15 tection and development.

16 (d) **OWNERSHIP REQUIREMENT.**—The Secretary may  
17 provide assistance for a project under this section only if  
18 the project is publicly owned.

19 (e) **PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENTS.**—

20 (1) **IN GENERAL.**—Before providing assistance  
21 under this section, the Secretary shall enter into a  
22 partnership agreement with a non-Federal interest  
23 to provide for design and construction of the project  
24 to be carried out with the assistance.



1           (2) REQUIREMENTS.—Each partnership agree-  
2           ment entered into under this subsection shall provide  
3           for the following:

4                   (A) PLAN.—Development by the Secretary,  
5                   in consultation with appropriate Federal and  
6                   State officials, of a facilities or resource protec-  
7                   tion and development plan, including appro-  
8                   priate engineering plans and specifications.

9                   (B) LEGAL AND INSTITUTIONAL STRUC-  
10                  TURES.—Establishment of such legal and insti-  
11                  tutional structures as are necessary to ensure  
12                  the effective long-term operation of the project  
13                  by the non-Federal interest.

14           (3) COST SHARING.—

15                   (A) IN GENERAL.—The Federal share of  
16                   the project cost under each partnership agree-  
17                   ment entered into under this subsection shall be  
18                   75 percent. The Federal share may be in the  
19                   form of grants or reimbursements of project  
20                   costs.

21                   (B) CREDIT FOR WORK.—The non-Federal  
22                   interests shall receive credit for the reasonable  
23                   cost of design work on a project completed by  
24                   the non-Federal interest before entering into a



1 partnership agreement with the Secretary for  
2 such project.

3 (C) CREDIT FOR INTEREST.—In case of a  
4 delay in the funding of the non-Federal share  
5 of a project that is the subject of an agreement  
6 under this section, the non-Federal interest  
7 shall receive credit for reasonable interest in-  
8 curred in providing the non-Federal share of  
9 the project cost.

10 (D) LAND, EASEMENTS, AND RIGHTS-OF-  
11 WAY CREDIT.—The non-Federal interest shall  
12 receive credit for land, easements, rights-of-  
13 way, and relocations toward the non-Federal  
14 share of project cost (including all reasonable  
15 costs associated with obtaining permits nec-  
16 essary for the construction, operation, and  
17 maintenance of the project on publicly owned or  
18 controlled land), but not to exceed 25 percent  
19 of total project cost.

20 (E) OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.—The  
21 non-Federal share of operation and mainte-  
22 nance costs for projects constructed with assist-  
23 ance provided under this section shall be 100  
24 percent.



1 (f) APPLICABILITY OF OTHER FEDERAL AND STATE  
2 LAWS.—Nothing in this section waives, limits, or other-  
3 wise affects the applicability of any provision of Federal  
4 or State law that would otherwise apply to a project to  
5 be carried out with assistance provided under this section.

6 (g) NONPROFIT ENTITIES.—Notwithstanding section  
7 221(b) of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C.  
8 1962d-5b(b)), for any project undertaken under this sec-  
9 tion, a non-Federal interest may include a nonprofit entity  
10 with the consent of the affected local government.

11 (h) CORPS OF ENGINEERS EXPENSES.—Ten percent  
12 of the amounts appropriated to carry out this section may  
13 be used by the Corps of Engineers district offices to ad-  
14 minister projects under this section at 100 percent Fed-  
15 eral expense.

16 (i) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
17 authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section  
18 \$40,000,000. Such sums shall remain available until ex-  
19 pended.

Page 314, line 3, strike “\$5,000,000” and insert  
“\$10,000,000”.

Page 314, after line 3, insert the following (and re-  
designate subsequent sections accordingly):



1 **SEC. 5122. DALLAS COUNTY REGION, TEXAS.**

2 (a) **DALLAS COUNTY REGION DEFINED.**—In this sec-  
3 tion, the term “Dallas County region” means the city of  
4 Dallas, and the municipalities of DeSoto, Duncanville,  
5 Lancaster, Wilmer, Hutchins, Balch Springs, Cedar Hill,  
6 Glenn Heights, and Ferris, Texas.

7 (b) **ESTABLISHMENT OF PROGRAM.**—The Secretary  
8 may establish a program to provide environmental assist-  
9 ance to non-Federal interests in the Dallas County region.

10 (c) **FORM OF ASSISTANCE.**—Assistance under this  
11 section may be in the form of design and construction as-  
12 sistance for water-related environmental infrastructure  
13 and resource protection and development projects in the  
14 Dallas County region, including projects for wastewater  
15 treatment and related facilities, water supply and related  
16 facilities, environmental restoration, and surface water re-  
17 source protection and development.

18 (d) **OWNERSHIP REQUIREMENT.**—The Secretary may  
19 provide assistance for a project under this section only if  
20 the project is publicly owned.

21 (e) **PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENTS.**—

22 (1) **IN GENERAL.**—Before providing assistance  
23 under this section, the Secretary shall enter into a  
24 partnership agreement with a non-Federal interest  
25 to provide for design and construction of the project  
26 to be carried out with the assistance.

1           (2) REQUIREMENTS.—Each partnership agree-  
2           ment entered into under this subsection shall provide  
3           for the following:

4                   (A) PLAN.—Development by the Secretary,  
5                   in consultation with appropriate Federal and  
6                   State officials, of a facilities or resource protec-  
7                   tion and development plan, including appro-  
8                   priate engineering plans and specifications.

9                   (B) LEGAL AND INSTITUTIONAL STRUC-  
10                   TURES.—Establishment of such legal and insti-  
11                   tutional structures as are necessary to ensure  
12                   the effective long-term operation of the project  
13                   by the non-Federal interest.

14           (3) COST SHARING.—

15                   (A) IN GENERAL.—The Federal share of  
16                   the project costs under each partnership agree-  
17                   ment entered into under this subsection shall be  
18                   75 percent. The Federal share may be in the  
19                   form of grants or reimbursements of project  
20                   costs.

21                   (B) CREDIT FOR WORK.—The non-Federal  
22                   interests shall receive credit for the reasonable  
23                   cost of design work on a project completed by  
24                   the non-Federal interest before entering into a



1 partnership agreement with the Secretary for  
2 such project.

3 (C) CREDIT FOR INTEREST.—In case of a  
4 delay in the funding of the non-Federal share  
5 of a project that is the subject of an agreement  
6 under this section, the non-Federal interest  
7 shall receive credit for reasonable interest in-  
8 curred in providing the non-Federal share of  
9 the project's costs.

10 (D) LAND, EASEMENTS, AND RIGHTS-OF-  
11 WAY CREDIT.—The non-Federal interest shall  
12 receive credit for land, easements, rights-of-  
13 way, and relocations toward the non-Federal  
14 share of project costs (including all reasonable  
15 costs associated with obtaining permits nec-  
16 essary for the construction, operation, and  
17 maintenance of the project on publicly owned or  
18 controlled land), but such credit may not exceed  
19 25 percent of total project costs.

20 (E) OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.—The  
21 non-Federal share of operation and mainte-  
22 nance costs for projects constructed with assist-  
23 ance provided under this section shall be 100  
24 percent.



1 (f) APPLICABILITY OF OTHER FEDERAL AND STATE  
2 LAWS.—Nothing in this section waives, limits, or other-  
3 wise affects the applicability of any provision of Federal  
4 or State law that would otherwise apply to a project to  
5 be carried out with assistance provided under this section.

6 (g) NONPROFIT ENTITIES.—Notwithstanding section  
7 221(b) of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C.  
8 1962d-5b(b)), for any project undertaken under this sec-  
9 tion, a non-Federal interest may include a nonprofit enti-  
10 ty.

11 (h) CORPS OF ENGINEERS EXPENSES.—Ten percent  
12 of the amounts appropriated to carry out this section may  
13 be used by the Corps of Engineers district offices to ad-  
14 minister projects under this section at 100 percent Fed-  
15 eral expense.

16 (i) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is  
17 authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section  
18 \$40,000,000. Such sums shall remain available until ex-  
19 pended.

Page 325, strike lines 22 through 25 and insert the  
following:



20 “(9) BUFFALO BAYOU, TEXAS.—A project for  
21 flood control, Buffalo Bayou, Texas, to provide an  
22 alternative to the project authorized by the first sec-  
23 tion of the River and Harbor Act of June 20, 1938

1 (52 Stat. 804) and modified by section 3a of the  
2 Flood Control Act of August 11, 1939 (53 Stat.  
3 1414).

4 “(10) HALLS BAYOU, TEXAS.—A project for  
5 flood control, Halls Bayou, Texas, to provide an al-  
6 ternative to the project for flood control, Buffalo  
7 Bayou and tributaries, Texas, authorized by section  
8 101(a)(21) of the Water Resources Development Act  
9 of 1990 (104 Stat. 4610).

Page 327, after line 9, insert the following:

10 **SEC. 5140. ADDITIONAL ASSISTANCE FOR CRITICAL**  
11 **PROJECTS.**

12 Section 219(f) of the Water Resources Development  
13 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 335–337; 114  
14 Stat. 2763A–220–221) is amended—

15 (1) by striking the undesignated paragraph re-  
16 lating to Charleston, South Carolina, and inserting  
17 the following:

18 “(72) CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA.—  
19 \$20,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure, including  
20 wastewater collection systems, and stormwater sys-  
21 tem improvements, Charleston, South Carolina.”;

22 (2) by redesignating the paragraph (71) relat-  
23 ing to Placer and El Dorado Counties, California, as  
24 paragraph (73);

1           (3) by redesignating the paragraph (72) relat-  
2           ing to Lassen, Plumas, Butte, Sierra, and Nevada  
3           Counties, California, as paragraph (74);

4           (4) by striking the paragraph (71) relating to  
5           Indianapolis, Indiana, and inserting the following:

6           “(75) INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA.—\$6,430,000 for  
7           environmental infrastructure for Indianapolis, Indi-  
8           ana.”;

9           (5) by redesignating the paragraph (73) relat-  
10          ing to St. Croix Falls, Wisconsin, as paragraph (76);  
11          and

12          (6) by adding at the end the following:

13          “(77) ST. CLAIR COUNTY, ALABAMA.—  
14          \$5,000,000 for water related infrastructure, St.  
15          Clair County, Alabama.

16          “(78) CRAWFORD COUNTY, ARKANSAS.—  
17          \$35,000,000 for water supply infrastructure,  
18          Crawford County, Arkansas.

19          “(79) BRAWLEY COLONIA, IMPERIAL COUNTY,  
20          CALIFORNIA.—\$1,400,000 for water infrastructure  
21          to improve water quality in the Brawley Colonia  
22          Water District, Imperial County, California.

23          “(80) CONTRA COSTA WATER DISTRICT, CALI-  
24          FORNIA.—\$23,000,000 for water and wastewater in-



1 frastructure for the Contra Costa Water District,  
2 California.

3 “(81) EAST BAY, SAN FRANCISCO, AND SANTA  
4 CLARA AREAS, CALIFORNIA.—\$4,000,000 for a de-  
5 salination project to serve the East Bay, San Fran-  
6 cisco, and Santa Clara areas, California.

7 “(82) IMPERIAL COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—  
8 \$10,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure, including  
9 a wastewater disinfection facility and polishing sys-  
10 tem, to improve water quality in the vicinity of  
11 Calexico, California, on the southern New River, Im-  
12 perial County, California.

13 “(83) RICHMOND, CALIFORNIA.—\$25,000,000  
14 for a recycled water treatment facility, Richmond,  
15 California.

16 “(84) SANTA CLARA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—  
17 \$5,500,000 for an advanced recycling water treat-  
18 ment plant in Santa Clara County, California.

19 “(85) SOUTHERN LOS ANGELES COUNTY, CALI-  
20 FORNIA.—\$15,000,000 for environmental infrastruc-  
21 ture for the groundwater basin optimization pipeline,  
22 Southern Los Angeles County, California.

23 “(86) SWEETWATER RESERVOIR, SAN DIEGO  
24 COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—\$375,000 to improve water  
25 quality, and remove nonnative aquatic species from



1 the Sweetwater Reservoir, San Diego County, Cali-  
2 fornia.

3 “(87) WHITTIER, CALIFORNIA.—\$8,000,000 for  
4 water, wastewater, and water related infrastructure,  
5 Whittier, California.

6 “(88) MONTEZUMA AND LA PLATA COUNTIES,  
7 COLORADO.—\$1,000,000 for water and wastewater  
8 related infrastructure for the Ute Mountain project,  
9 Montezuma and La Plata Counties, Colorado.

10 “(89) PUEBLO AND OTERO COUNTIES, COLO-  
11 RADO.—\$34,000,000 for water transmission infra-  
12 structure, Pueblo and Otero Counties, Colorado.

13 “(90) LEDYARD AND MONTVILLE, CON-  
14 NECTICUT.—\$7,113,000 for water infrastructure,  
15 Ledyard and Montville, Connecticut.

16 “(91) ANACOSTIA RIVER, DISTRICT OF COLUM-  
17 BIA AND MARYLAND.—\$20,000,000 for environ-  
18 mental infrastructure and resource protection and  
19 development to enhance water quality and living re-  
20 sources in the Anacostia River watershed, District of  
21 Columbia and Maryland.

22 “(92) WASHINGTON, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.—  
23 \$35,000,000 for implementation of a combined  
24 sewer overflow long-term control plan, Washington,  
25 District of Columbia.



1           “(93) CHARLOTTE COUNTY, FLORIDA.—  
2           \$3,000,000 for water supply infrastructure, Char-  
3           lotte County, Florida.

4           “(94) CHARLOTTE, LEE, AND COLLIER COUN-  
5           TIES, FLORIDA.—\$20,000,000 for water supply  
6           interconnectivity infrastructure, Charlotte, Lee, and  
7           Collier Counties, Florida.

8           “(95) COLLIER COUNTY, FLORIDA.—  
9           \$5,000,000 for water infrastructure to improve  
10          water quality in the vicinity of the Gordon River,  
11          Collier County, Florida.

12          “(96) JACKSONVILLE, FLORIDA.—\$25,000,000  
13          for wastewater related infrastructure, including sep-  
14          tic tank replacements, Jacksonville, Florida.

15          “(97) NORTH VERNON AND BUTLERVILLE, IN-  
16          DIANA.—\$1,700,000 for wastewater infrastructure,  
17          North Vernon and Butlerville, Indiana.

18          “(98) SALEM, WASHINGTON COUNTY, INDI-  
19          ANA.—\$3,200,000 for water supply infrastructure,  
20          Salem, Washington County, Indiana.

21          “(99) CENTRAL KENTUCKY.—\$10,000,000 for  
22          water related infrastructure and resource protection  
23          and development, Scott, Franklin, Woodford, Ander-  
24          son, Fayette, Mercer, Jessamine, Boyle, Lincoln,



1 Garrard, Madison, Estill, Powell, Clark, Mont-  
2 gomery, and Bourbon Counties, Kentucky.

3 “(100) PLAQUEMINE, LOUISIANA.—\$7,000,000  
4 for sanitary sewer and wastewater infrastructure,  
5 Plaquemine, Louisiana.

6 “(101) CITY OF BILOXI, CITY OF GULFPORT,  
7 AND HARRISON COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI.—\$15,000,000  
8 for water and wastewater related infrastructure, city  
9 of Biloxi, city of Gulfport, and Harrison County,  
10 Mississippi.

11 “(102) CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA.—\$30,000,000  
12 for wastewater infrastructure, Clark County, Ne-  
13 vada.

14 “(103) HENDERSON, NEVADA.—\$5,000,000 for  
15 wastewater infrastructure, Henderson, Nevada.

16 “(104) PATERSON, NEW JERSEY.—\$35,000,000  
17 for wastewater infrastructure, Paterson, New Jer-  
18 sey.

19 “(105) SENNETT, NEW YORK.—\$1,500,000 for  
20 water infrastructure, Town of Sennett, New York.

21 “(106) SPRINGPORT AND FLEMING, NEW  
22 YORK.—\$10,000,000 for water related infrastruc-  
23 ture, including water mains, pump stations, and  
24 water storage tanks, Springport and Fleming, New  
25 York.



1           “(107) CABARRUS COUNTY, NORTH CARO-  
2           LINA.—\$4,500,000 for water related infrastructure,  
3           Cabarrus County, North Carolina.

4           “(108) RICHMOND COUNTY, NORTH CARO-  
5           LINA.—\$8,000,000 for water related infrastructure,  
6           Richmond County, North Carolina.

7           “(109) UNION COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA.—  
8           \$6,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure, Union  
9           County, North Carolina.

10          “(110) LAKE COUNTY, OHIO.—\$1,500,000 for  
11          wastewater infrastructure, Lake County, Ohio.

12          “(111) MENTOR-ON-LAKE, OHIO.—\$625,000  
13          for water and wastewater infrastructure, Mentor-on-  
14          Lake, Ohio.

15          “(112) WILLOWICK, OHIO.—\$665,000 for water  
16          and wastewater infrastructure, Willowick, Ohio.

17          “(113) ALBANY, OREGON.—\$35,000,000 for  
18          wastewater infrastructure to improve water quality,  
19          Albany, Oregon.

20          “(114) BOROUGH OF STOCKERTON, BOROUGH  
21          OF TATAMY, AND PALMER TOWNSHIP, PENNSYLV-  
22          VANIA.—\$10,000,000 for stormwater control meas-  
23          ures, particularly to address sinkholes, in the vicinity  
24          of the Borough of Stockerton, the Borough of  
25          Tatamy, and Palmer Township, Pennsylvania.



1           “(115) HATFIELD BOROUGH, PENNSYLVANIA.—  
2           \$310,000 for wastewater related infrastructure for  
3           Hatfield Borough, Pennsylvania.

4           “(116) LEHIGH COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA.—  
5           \$5,000,000 for stormwater control measures and  
6           storm sewer improvements, Lehigh County, Pennsyl-  
7           vania.

8           “(117) NORTH WALES BOROUGH, PENNSYLV-  
9           VANIA.—\$1,516,584 for wastewater related infra-  
10          structure for North Wales Borough, Pennsylvania.

11          “(118) PEN ARGYL, PENNSYLVANIA.—  
12          \$5,250,000 for wastewater infrastructure, Pen  
13          Argyl, Pennsylvania.

14          “(119) PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA.—  
15          \$1,600,000 for wastewater related infrastructure for  
16          Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

17          “(120) VERA CRUZ, PENNSYLVANIA.—  
18          \$5,500,000 for wastewater infrastructure, Vera  
19          Cruz, Pennsylvania.

20          “(121) COMMONWEALTH OF PUERTO RICO.—  
21          \$35,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
22          ture in the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico.

23          “(122) CROSS, SOUTH CAROLINA.—\$2,000,000  
24          for water related environmental infrastructure,  
25          Cross, South Carolina.



1           “(123) MYRTLE BEACH, SOUTH CAROLINA.—  
2           \$6,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, includ-  
3           ing ocean outfalls, Myrtle Beach, South Carolina.

4           “(124) NORTH MYRTLE BEACH, SOUTH CARO-  
5           LINA.—\$6,000,000 for environmental infrastructure,  
6           including ocean outfalls, North Myrtle Beach, South  
7           Carolina.

8           “(125) SURFSIDE, SOUTH CAROLINA.—  
9           \$6,000,000 for environmental infrastructure, includ-  
10          ing stormwater system improvements and ocean out-  
11          falls, Surfside, South Carolina.

12          “(126) ATHENS, TENNESSEE.—\$16,000,000 for  
13          wastewater infrastructure, Athens, Tennessee.

14          “(127) DUCHESNE, IRON, AND UINTAH COUN-  
15          TIES, UTAH.—\$10,800,000 for water related infra-  
16          structure, Duchesne, Iron, and Uintah Counties,  
17          Utah.

18          “(128) MONROE, NORTH CAROLINA.—  
19          \$11,500,000 for water related infrastructure, includ-  
20          ing water supply reservoir dredging, Monroe, North  
21          Carolina.

22          “(129) CHARLOTTE, NORTH CAROLINA.—  
23          \$5,000,000 for phase II of the Briar Creek waste-  
24          water project, Charlotte, North Carolina.



1           “(130) LOS ANGELES COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—  
2           \$3,000,000 for wastewater and water related infra-  
3           structure, Diamond Bar, La Habra Heights, and  
4           Rowland Heights, Los Angeles County, California.

5           “(131) ORANGE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—  
6           \$15,000,000 for wastewater and water related infra-  
7           structure, Anaheim, Brea, La Habra, Mission Viejo,  
8           Rancho Santa Margarita, and Yorba Linda, Orange  
9           County, California.

10          “(132) SAN BERNADINO COUNTY, CALI-  
11          FORNIA.—\$9,000,000 for wastewater and water re-  
12          lated infrastructure, Chino and Chino Hills, San  
13          Bernadino County, California.

14          “(133) FAYETTEVILLE, GRANTVILLE, LA-  
15          GRANGE, PINE MOUNTAIN (HARRIS COUNTY),  
16          DOUGLASVILLE, AND CARROLLTON, GEORGIA.—  
17          \$24,500,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
18          ture, Fayetteville, Grantville, LaGrange, Pine Moun-  
19          tain (Harris County), Douglasville, and Carrollton,  
20          Georgia.

21          “(134) MERIWETHER AND SPALDING COUN-  
22          TIES, GEORGIA.—\$7,000,000 for water and waste-  
23          water infrastructure, Meriwether and Spalding  
24          Counties, Georgia.



1           “(135) ARCADIA, SIERRA MADRE, AND UPLAND,  
2 CALIFORNIA.—\$33,000,000 for water and waste-  
3 water infrastructure, Arcadia, Sierra Madre, and  
4 Upland, California, including \$13,000,000 for  
5 stormwater infrastructure for Upland, California.

6           “(136) FT. BEND COUNTY, TEXAS.—  
7 \$20,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure, Ft. Bend  
8 County, Texas.

9           “(137) NEW RIVER, CALIFORNIA.—\$10,000,000  
10 for wastewater infrastructure to improve water qual-  
11 ity in the New River, California.

12           “(138) BIG BEAR AREA REGIONAL WASTE-  
13 WATER AGENCY, CALIFORNIA.—\$15,000,000 for  
14 water reclamation and distribution, Big Bear Area  
15 Regional Wastewater Agency, California.

16           “(139) LAKE NACIMIENTO, CALIFORNIA.—  
17 \$25,000,000 for water supply infrastructure for the  
18 communities of Atascadero, Paso Robles, Templeton,  
19 and San Luis Obispo, San Luis Obispo County,  
20 California.

21           “(140) OTERO, BENT, CROWLEY, KIOWA, AND  
22 PROWERS COUNTIES, COLORADO.—\$35,000,000 for  
23 water transmission infrastructure, Otero, Bent,  
24 Crowley, Kiowa, and Prowers Counties, Colorado.



1           “(141) SAIPAN, NORTHERN MARIANA IS-  
2 LANDS.—\$20,000,000 for water related infrastruc-  
3 ture, Saipan, Northern Mariana Islands.

4           “(142) STOCKTON, CALIFORNIA.—\$33,000,000  
5 for water treatment and distribution infrastructure,  
6 Stockton, California.

7           “(143) JACKSON, MISSISSIPPI.—\$25,000,000  
8 for water and wastewater infrastructure, Jackson,  
9 Mississippi.

10           “(144) CROOKED CREEK, MARLBORO COUNTY,  
11 SOUTH CAROLINA.—\$25,000,000 for a project for  
12 water storage and water supply infrastructure on  
13 Crooked Creek, Marlboro County, South Carolina.

14           “(145) CENTRAL TEXAS.—\$20,000,000 for  
15 water and wastewater infrastructure in Bosque,  
16 Brazos, Burleson, Grimes, Hill, Hood, Johnson,  
17 Madison, McLennan, Limestone, Robertson, and  
18 Somervell Counties, Texas.

19           “(146) EL PASO COUNTY, TEXAS.—  
20 \$25,000,000 for water related infrastructure and re-  
21 source protection and development, El Paso County,  
22 Texas.

23           “(147) NORTHERN WEST VIRGINIA.—  
24 \$20,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-  
25 ture in Hancock, Ohio, Marshall, Wetzel, Tyler,



1 Pleasants, Wood, Doddridge, Monongalia, Marion,  
2 Harrison, Taylor, Barbour, Preston, Tucker, Min-  
3 eral, Grant, Gilmer, Brooke, Ritchie Counties, West  
4 Virginia.”.

Page 329, line 19, strike the closing quotation marks and the final period and insert the following:

5 “(4) PROJECT SUBJECT TO A FINAL REPORT.—  
6 The following project for water resources develop-  
7 ment and conservation and other purposes is author-  
8 ized to be carried out by the Secretary substantially  
9 in accordance with a final report of the Chief of En-  
10 gineers:

11 “(A) PICAYUNE STRAND, FLORIDA.—The  
12 project for environmental restoration, Picayune  
13 Strand, Florida, at a total cost of  
14 \$349,422,000, with an estimated Federal cost  
15 of \$174,711,000 and an estimated non-Federal  
16 cost of \$174,711,000, if a favorable report of  
17 the Chief is completed not later than December  
18 31, 2005.”.

Page 355, line 6, strike “this subsection” and insert  
“this title”.

Conform the table of contents of the bill accordingly.

