

**AMENDMENT TO H.R. 2454****OFFERED BY MR. ~~BILBRAY~~ OF CALIFORNIA**  
**Schiff**

Page 129, after line 9, add the following new section:

**1 SEC. 199. INNOVATIVE VEHICLES.**

2 (a) **ULTRA EFFICIENT VEHICLES.**—Section 136 of  
3 the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (42  
4 U.S.C. 17013) is amended—

5 (1) in subsection (a)—

6 (A) in paragraph (1), by inserting “an  
7 ultra efficient vehicle or” after “means”; and

8 (B) by adding at the end the following new  
9 paragraph:

10 “(5) **ULTRA EFFICIENT VEHICLE.**—The term  
11 ‘ultra efficient vehicle’ means a fully closed compart-  
12 ment vehicle designed to carry at least 2 adult pas-  
13 sengers that achieves—

14 “(A) at least 75 miles per gallon while op-  
15 erating on gasoline or diesel fuel;

16 “(B) at least 75 miles per gallon equiva-  
17 lent while operating as a hybrid electric-gasoline  
18 or electric-diesel vehicle; or

1 “(C) at least 75 miles per gallon equivalent  
2 while operating as a fully electric vehicle.”;

3 (2) in subsection (b)—

4 (A) by inserting “, ultra efficient vehicle  
5 manufacturers,” after “automobile manufactur-  
6 ers”;

7 (B) in paragraph (1)—

8 (i) by striking “or” at the end of sub-  
9 paragraph (A);

10 (ii) by striking “and” at the end of  
11 subparagraph (B) and inserting “or”; and

12 (iii) by adding at the end the fol-  
13 lowing new subparagraph:

14 “(C) ultra efficient vehicles; and”; and

15 (C) in paragraph (2), by inserting “, ultra  
16 efficient vehicles,” after “qualifying vehicles”;

17 (3) in subsection (g), by inserting “or are uti-  
18 lized primarily for the manufacture of ultra efficient  
19 vehicles” after “20 years”; and

20 (4) in subsection (h)(1)(B), by striking “auto-  
21 mobiles” and inserting “ultra efficient vehicles, auto-  
22 mobiles”.

23 (b) RECONSIDERATION OF PRIOR APPLICATIONS.—

24 The Secretary of Energy shall reconsider applications for

1 assistance under section 136 of the Energy Independence  
2 and Security Act of 2007 (42 U.S.C. 17013) that were—

3 (1) timely filed under that section before Janu-  
4 ary 1, 2009;

5 (2) rejected on the basis that the vehicles to  
6 which the proposal related were not advanced tech-  
7 nology vehicles; and

8 (3) related to ultra efficient vehicles.

