

4. AN AMENDMENT TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE WATERS OF CALIFORNIA OR HER DESIGNEE, DEBATABLE FOR 10 MINUTES

6

**AMENDMENT TO H.R. 3309, AS REPORTED
OFFERED BY MS. WATERS OF CALIFORNIA**

Page 18, after line 21, insert the following (and re-designate subsequent provisions accordingly):

1 “(n) PROHIBITION ON ACCEPTANCE OF CERTAIN OF-
2 FERS OF EMPLOYMENT BY COMMISSIONERS AND EM-
3 PLOYEES.—

4 “(1) IN GENERAL.—A Commissioner or em-
5 ployee of the Commission may not accept an offer of
6 employment from any person as an employee, offi-
7 cer, or director of such person during the 1-year pe-
8 riod following the conclusion of a proceeding—

9 “(A) over which such Commissioner or em-
10 ployee presided and that involved the transfer
11 of licenses to or from the person making the
12 offer of employment;

13 “(B) over which such Commissioner or em-
14 ployee presided, to which the person making the
15 offer of employment was a party, and that in-
16 volved a merger, combination, or other adju-
17 dication; or

18 “(C) in which such Commissioner or em-
19 ployee issued a decision under authority dele-

1 gated by the Commission under section
2 5(c)(1)—

3 “(i) if such proceeding involved a
4 transfer of licenses to or from the person
5 making the offer of employment; or

6 “(ii) if such proceeding involved a
7 merger, combination, or other adjudication
8 and the person making the offer of employ-
9 ment was a party to such proceeding.

10 “(2) PENALTIES.—A Commissioner or em-
11 ployee who knowingly accepts an offer of employ-
12 ment in violation of paragraph (1), and a person
13 who knowingly offers employment to a Commissioner
14 or employee under circumstances in which accept-
15 ance would constitute a violation of such paragraph,
16 shall be subject to the penalties provided in this Act.

17 “(3) REGULATIONS.—The Commission shall by
18 rule establish procedures for a Commissioner or em-
19 ployee to request advice from an appropriate Com-
20 mission ethics official regarding whether acceptance
21 of a particular offer of employment would constitute
22 a violation of paragraph (1).

